



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTO		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/021,129	12/12/2001	Yeong-Taeg Kim	SAM2.0004	2469		
23386	7590 03/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	WES ANDRAS & SHER RTHUR BLVD.,	CHANG, SHIRLEY				
SUITE 1150	KINUK BLVD.,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
IRVINE, CA 92612			2623			
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/200	DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10/021,129		KIM, YEONG-TAEG					
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Shirley Chang		2614				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communi Reply	cation app	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO po - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAD ON SO IT IN THE MAD ON SO IT IN THE MAD ON SO IT IN THE MAD ON	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 unication. tutory period w will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS CO 16(a). In no event, howe rill apply and will expire so cause the application to	MMUNICATION ver, may a reply be tim SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE). lely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, ,			
Status									
1)□ 8	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on							
• ===	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
4)⊠ C	. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	n Papers								
9)□ Tł	ne specification is objected to by the	Examiner	·.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) <u></u> Ad a)∏	cknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the Internation		•	• • •	·				
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action	n for a list o	of the certified co	pies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s	•								
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PI	TO-048)		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/17/06, 9/7/04, 5/19/03			5) 🔲		atent Application (PTC)-152)			

Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 2

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claim(s) 1-4, 6-8 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zigmond (6698020).

As to claim 1, Zigmond discloses:

A method for operating a digital television receiver, which comprises: providing a digital television receiver (fig. 3, el. 60; col. 7, lines 37-67) performing a Digital TV function (displaying an advertisement col. 7, lines 25-36);

storing a plurality of advertisement messages in a storage device (fig. 5, el. 84; col. 15, lines 17-34; fig. 4, el. 62, col. 8, lines 1-11);

subsequent to storing the plurality of the advertisement messages, using the digital television receiver to receive video data from a digital television service provider (fig. 3, el. 66; col. 8, lines 29-38; col. 7, lines 1-25);

with the digital television receiver, outputting the plurality of the advertisement messages and the received video data (fig. 3, el. 58; col. 8, lines 29-38); and

if a predetermined number of the plurality of the advertisement messages has been output (el. 61 counts the number of times a viewer has seen a selected ad. Once the ad has been displayed the desired number of times, display of the advertisement to the viewer is blocked col. 13, lines 40-47), then disabling the digital TV function (display of the advertisement to the viewer is blocked col. 13, lines 40-47) of the digital television receiver.

As to claim 2, Zigmond discloses:

after the digital TV function has been disabled, storing a new set of the plurality of advertisement messages in the storage device (advertisements continue to be transmitted col. 8, lines 29-39, and new advertisements are stored after an advertisement has reached its desired number viewing times col. 13, lines 40-47); and subsequently enabling the digital TV function of the digital television receiver (ads that have not reached the desired number viewing counts proceed to be displayed col. 8, lines 29-39).

As to claim 3, Zigmond discloses:

performing the step of storing the new set of the plurality of the advertisement messages in the storage device by downloading the new set of the plurality of the advertisement messages from a network (fig. 8, el. 64; col. 8, lines 29-37).

Art Unit: 2614

Page 4

As to claim 4, Zigmond discloses:

setting the predetermined number such that all of the plurality of the advertisement messages that were stored will be output (advertisers pay for a guaranteed number of exposures col. 14, lines 49-58).

As to claim 6, Zigmond discloses:

providing the storage device as a component of the digital television receiver (fig. 5, el. 84; col. 15, lines 17-34; fig. 4, el. 62, col. 8, lines 1-11).

As to claim 7, Zigmond discloses:

performing the step of storing the plurality of the advertisement messages by downloading the plurality of the advertisement messages from a network (fig. 5, el. 84; col. 15, lines 17-34; fig. 4, el. 62, col. 8, lines 1-11).

As to claim 8, Zigmond discloses:

receiving additional video data from the digital television service provider; with the digital television receiver, outputting the additional video data without outputting the plurality of

Application/Control Number: 10/021,129 Page 5

Art Unit: 2614

the advertisement messages (col. 18, line 38 to col. 19, line 9); and limiting a duration that the step of outputting the additional video without outputting the plurality of the advertisement messages can be performed (information relating to program description that appear in the feed. Since programs change, topics change and appear for a duration; col. 18, line 38 to col. 19, line 9).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim(s) 5, 12-13, 15 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zigmond (6698020) in view of Kim (20020010927).

As to claim 5,

Zigmond teaches out putting the plurality of advertisement messages that were stored to be output (col. 15, lines 17-34; col. 18, lines 29-63).

Art Unit: 2614

Zigmond fails to specifically teach a banner and performing the outputting step such that

Page 6

the plurality of advertisement messages that were stored are output as banner as

advertisement messages together with the received video data.

In an analogous art, Kim discloses a system of displaying the program signal with the

banner [0076].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Zigmond's system

to teach performing the outputting step such that the plurality of the advertisement

messages that were stored are output as banner advertisement messages together with

the received video data, as taught by Kim, so as to not frustrate users who wish to view

their chosen program from beginning to end without interruption.

As to claim 12,

Zigmond discloses:

A digital television receiver, comprising: a video decoder for decoding received video bit

streams of a selected program to obtain decoded video bit streams of the selected

program (col. 6, lines 40-47);

An ad storage device (fig. 5, el. 86) for storing data representing advertisement messages and thereby obtaining stored data (fig. 5, el. 84; col. 15, lines 17-34; fig. 4, el. 62, col. 8, lines 1-11);

An ad rendering unit for decoding and rendering the stored data to obtain rendered data (fig. 5, el. 84, 86, 83; [15, 16-34]; [12, 33-43]);

An ad manager unit for reading out the stored data from said banner storage device and for providing the stored data to said banner rendering unit, said banner manager unit generating a disable signal when a predetermined number of the advertisement messages, represented by the stored data, have been read out from the banner storage device (el. 61 counts the number of times a viewer has seen a selected ad. Once the ad has been displayed the desired number of times, display of the advertisement to the viewer is blocked col. 13, lines 40-47; display of the advertisement to the viewer is blocked col. 13, lines 40-47); and

Zigmond fails to specifically teach processing banner and a video reconstruction unit for combining the rendered data with the decoded video bit streams of the selected program to obtain a combined video output signal that includes information representing the selected program.

In an analogous art, Kim discloses processing a banner ([0076]) and a system of a video reconstruction unit for combining the rendered data with the decoded video bit

streams of the selected program to obtain a combined video output signal that includes information representing the selected program (fig. 8,el. 807; [0076]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Zigmond's system to include processing banner and a video reconstruction unit for combining the rendered data with the decoded video bit streams of the selected program to obtain a combined video output signal that includes information representing the selected program, as taught by Kim, so as to not frustrate users who wish to view their chosen program from beginning to end without interruption.

Kim discloses: an output terminal connected to said video reconstruction unit for receiving the combined video output signal and for outputting the combined video output signal ([0076]).

As to claim 13,

Zigmond discloses:

a system control unit for receiving the disable signal and, in response thereto, for prohibiting said output terminal from receiving the information representing the selected program (el. 61 counts the number of times a viewer has seen a selected ad. Once the ad has been displayed the desired number of times, display of the advertisement to the

viewer is blocked col. 13, lines 40-47; display of the advertisement to the viewer is blocked col. 13, lines 40-47).

As to claim 15,

a network adapter (inherently inside the STB) for downloading the stored data into said banner storage device from an external network (fig. 7, el. 64; col. 8, lines 29-37; fig. 5, el. 84; col. 15, lines 17-34; fig. 4, el. 62, col. 8, lines 1-11).

3. Claim(s) 9-11 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zigmond (6698020) in view of Gupta (20040073947), and in further view of Dimitrova (6469749).

As to claims 9 and 10,

Zigmond fails to specifically teach performing the limiting step by: initializing a counter to a predetermined value; incrementing the counter by an amount corresponding to an amount of time that the step of outputting the plurality of the advertisement messages and the received video data is being performed; decrementing the counter by an amount corresponding to an amount of time that the step of outputting the additional video without outputting the plurality of the advertisement messages is being performed; and when the counter reaches the predetermined value, discontinuing the step of

outputting the additional video without outputting the plurality of the advertisement messages.

In an analogous art, Gupta discloses a system of performing the limiting step by: initializing a counter to a predetermined value (counter resets to zero [0074]);

incrementing the counter by an amount corresponding to an amount of time that the step of outputting the plurality of the advertisement messages and the received video data is being performed ([0074]; [0078]; [0085]);

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Zigmond's system to teach performing the limiting step by: initializing a counter to a predetermined value; incrementing the counter by an amount corresponding to an amount of time that the step of outputting the plurality of the advertisement messages and the received video data is being performed, as taught by Gupta, so as to allow monitoring of media and determine which information to output.

Zigmond in view of Kim and in further view of Gupta fail to specifically disclose: decrementing the counter by an amount corresponding to an amount of time; and when the counter reaches the predetermined value, discontinuing the step of outputting the advertisement messages.

In an analogous art, Dimitrova discloses a system of decrementing the counter by an amount corresponding to an amount of time; and when the counter reaches the

Page 11

Art Unit: 2614

predetermined value, discontinuing outputting the advertisement messages (the

commercial is eventually "forgotten" by the system; col. 7, lines 8-19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Zigmond in view

of Gupta system to teach decrementing the counter by an amount corresponding to an

amount of time; and when the counter reaches the predetermined value, discontinuing

outputting the advertisement messages, as taught by Dimitrova, so as to allow the

system to determine how long to allow the commercial to air.

As to claim 11,

Gupta discloses:

providing the counter as a component of the digital television receiver (fig. 2, el. 180;

[0074]).

4. Claim(s) 14 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Zigmond (6698020) in view of Kim (20020010927), and in further view of

Gupta (20040073947), and in further view of Dimitrova (6469749).

As to claim 14,

Zigmond discloses: said video reconstruction unit is outputting the information representing the selected program without the rendered data (col. 18, line 38 to col. 19, line 9).

Kim discloses: the rendered data is combined with the decoded video bit streams of the selected program in said video reconstruction unit ([0076])

said video reconstruction unit also configured to selectively output the information representing the selected program without the rendered data (fig. 8,el. 807; [0076]).

Zigmond in view of Kim fails to teach a counter having a value that is incremented.

In an analogous art, Gupta discloses a system of a counter having a value that is incremented ([0074]; [0078]; [0085]);

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Zigmond in view of Kim's system to a counter having a value that is incremented, as taught by Gupta, so as to allow monitoring of media and determine which information to output.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,129 Page 13

Art Unit: 2614

Zigmond in view of Kim, in further view of Gupta's system fail to specifically disclose: said value of said counter being decremented in proportion to an amount of time during and when the value of said counter reaches a predetermined lower limit value, said video reconstruction unit being prohibited from outputting the information.

In an analogous art, Dimitrova discloses a system said value of said counter being decremented in proportion to an amount of time during and when the value of said counter reaches a predetermined lower limit value, said video reconstruction unit being prohibited from outputting the information (the commercial is eventually "forgotten" by the system; col. 7, lines 8-19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Zigmond in view of Gupta system to teach decrementing the counter by an amount corresponding to an amount of time; and when the counter reaches the predetermined value, discontinuing outputting the advertisement messages, as taught by Dimitrova, so as to allow the system to determine how long to allow the commercial to air.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,129 Page 14

Art Unit: 2614

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shirley Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-8546. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

CHRISTOPHER GRANT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600